

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,102	03/23/2006	Fabio Frabetti	242/9-2224	8924	
	7590 04/11/2007 JDOL SAPONE, P.C.		EXAMINER		
714 COLORAI	DO AVENUE		WEEKS, GLORIA R		
BRIDGE POR	Г, СТ 06605-1601		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3721		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/11/2007	PAP	DED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/573,102	FRABETTI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gloria R. Weeks	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on 23 Ma	arch 2006					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is non-final.					
· <u></u>	·—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pendin	g in the application						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejecte	<u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are object							
8) Claim(s) are subject		election requirement.					
Application Papers		,					
	lan hadha Farania	_					
9) ☐ The specification is objected			handle - F				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	gedied to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P10	O-152.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ No							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
		have been received in Application					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT</li> </ul>	Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/23/06.</u>	U/3B/U8)	6) Other:	пент Аррисация				

Art Unit: 3721

## Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes the phrase "means". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

### Claim Objections

4. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: the tense of the term "carry" in line 3 is improper. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,102 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Upon examination, the art considered as a whole, alone or in combination, neither anticipated nor renders obvious the claimed device comprising a first belt means that moves product to be rolled; a second belt means for moving product that do not require being rolled; an actuating means for actuating the first belt means in a first direction and for actuating the second belt means in a second direction; a rolling means having a concave housing shaped relative to the product moved by the first belt means, the rolling means interposed between the first belt means and the second belt means such that product being moved by the first belt means is rolled by the rolling means.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 line 1 recites the limitation "at least moving means" which is found to be indefinite. The use of the phrase "at least" implies reference to a quantity, which has not been disclosed by Applicant in the claim limitation. Please consider removing the phrase "at least" if no quantity is to be referenced.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,102

Art Unit: 3721

Claim 1 lines 5-6 reference the operation of the first belt means via the actuating means, which is found to be a redundant limitation as lines 3-4 of claim 1 recite this limitation.

Claim 1 lines 8 and 15 recite the phrase "are intended to roll" which is found to be indefinite. As written, Examiner cannot determine whether rolling the product is a limitation of Applicants invention, since the limitation is presented as a probable function of the means.

Claim 2 line 2 recites the phrase "idle wheels gripping in the first and second direction" which is found to be indefinite. As written, Examiner is unable to determine what the idle wheels are gripping.

Claim 2 line 3 recite the phrase "respectively" which is found to be a redundant limitation as line 2 of claim 2 recites this limitation.

Claim 6 line 2 recites the limitation "intended to take each product" which is found to be indefinite. As written, Examiner cannot determine whether taking each product is a limitation of Applicants invention, since the limitation is presented as a probable function of the means.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Refer to attachment for notice of references cited and recommended for consideration based on their disclosure of limitations related to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria R. Weeks whose telephone number is (571) 272-4473.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4pm.

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199

Gloria R. Weeks

Examiner

Art Unit 372

grw April 2, 2007

Rinald I. Rada
Supervisory Patent Examiner

**Group 3700**